

IP-2000

Status Brief

Reserve Liaison Officer

CDR Rich Barnhart, CNSRF N5B
DSN 678-5798/BARNHARR@CNRF.NOLA.NAVY.MIL

Overview

- **IP-2000**
 - **Intent was to respond to customer demands.**
 - **Easier access to SELRES support.**
 - **Regional consolidation of multiple and redundant Naval Reserve Activities.**
 - **BOS cost savings**
 - **Re-deployment of FTS assets to AC gaining commands**
 - **Original Proposal**
 - **17 NRFSCs**

Current Proposal

Major Features

- **9 Naval Surface Reserve Regional Commands**

-  **Combines 9 current Echelon IV commands with co-located large RESCENS - except RC16 & 4.**

- **Alignment with Navy Regional**

-  **Commander Boundaries.**

- **Approximately 100 FTS TAR Billets**

-  **available for re-deployment to provide Gaining Command SELRES support.**

ALASKA

NAVAL SURFACE RESERVE REGIONAL COMMAND BOUNDARIES

Navy Region
Northwest

Navy Region
Northeast

Navy Region Mid-
West

Navy Region North
Central

Navy Region Mid-
Atlantic

Navy Region
Southwest


Navy Region
South


Navy Region
Southeast(2)

Navy Region
Southeast(1)

PUERTO
RICO

HAWAII



			TDP	ODP	CTRS
Region Mid-Atlantic	D.C.	2172	7380	17	
Region Midwest	G Lakes	537	6951	26	
Region North Central	Fort Carson	720	4561	19	
Region Northeast	Newport	983	6308	20	
Region Northwest	Everett	566	3118	11	
Region South Texas	Fort Worth	962	6202	18	
Region Southeast (1)	Jacksonville	821	7885	17	
Region Southeast(2)	Memphis	901	3337	14	
Region Southwest	San Diego	2774	8589	14	
FSC Total			54331	156	

Re-deployment of FTS TAR E/S options

-  Straight MMC to MMC E/S transfer.
-  Do nothing and take as savings.
-  Establish RMATs (Reserve Manpower Assist Teams) at component UICs


Straight MMC to MMC E/S transfer.

- **Pros**

- Satisfies AC MPN staffing shortfalls.
- Facilitates SELRES support?
- Answers 12 star message?

- **Cons**

- Once E/S is transferred there is no return.
- Can always be used to answer a budget marker.
- No guarantee that billets will be used for SELRES support - witness RLO usage in some cases.




Do nothing and take as savings.

- PROS

- If not IP-2000 then from somewhere else in CNRF claimancy.
- Satisfies FTS - SELRES imbalance and NAS.


- CONS

- Once it's gone . . . it's gone forever.
- No tangible increase in internal (SELRES) or external (AC) customer support.
- Dismisses legitimate requirements




Establish RMATs (Reserve Manpower Assist Teams) at Component UICs

- PROs
 - Keeps manpower in CNRF Claimancy
 - Dedicates them to SELRES only issues.
 - Optimizes SELRES support.
 - Optimizes level of flexibility
- CONs
 - Easy marks without validated MFT/Requirements
 - Doesn't answer 12 star demands entirely?



IP-2000

Planning/Implementation



RECOMMENDATIONS?

DISCUSSION?